

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/865,125	05/24/2001	Charles Larsen	MASTE.086A	2710
20995	7590 08/27/2002			
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN S FOURTEENT	**		MACARTHUR, VICTOR L	
IRVINE, CA	91614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3670	

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	<u> </u>	\mathcal{I}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	T				
•,	09/865,125	LARSEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Victor MacArthur	3679					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	,				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, acause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communicat NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cion.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 i	<u>May 2001</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			s is				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application	1						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Will it of the control of the contro						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) 1-42 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
Application Papers	ciccion requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b)☐ objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	raminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in App	olication No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		ation).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	- ·				

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-30 and 38-42, drawn to a picket fence, classified in class 256, subclass

22.

II. Claims 31-37, drawn to a method of making a fence, classified in class 256,

subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product disclosed in claims 1-30 and 38-42 could be made by another process than the method disclosed in claims 31-37. For instance, a method including the forming of a closed channel in the bottom wall.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/865,125 Page 3

- Art Unit: 3679

Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group I

Species A – fence configuration as shown in fig.1a, 1b, 1c

Species B – fence configuration as shown in fig.1d

Species C – fence configuration as shown in fig.1e

Species D – fence configuration as shown in fig.1f

Group II

Species A – embodiment of the rail as shown in fig.2a

Species B – embodiment of the rail as shown in fig.2b

Group III

Species A – embodiment of the rail as shown in fig.2c

Species B – embodiment of the rail as shown in fig.2d

Species C – embodiment of the rail as shown in fig.2e

Species D – embodiment of the rail as shown in fig.2f

Group IV

Species A – embodiment of the retaining rod as shown in fig.3a

Species B – embodiment of the retaining rod as shown in fig.3b

Species C – embodiment of the retaining rod as shown in fig.3c

Species D – embodiment of the retaining rod as shown in fig.3d

Species E – embodiment of the retaining rod as shown in fig.3e

Application/Control Number: 09/865,125

Art Unit: 3679

Group V

Species A – embodiment of the picket as shown in figs.5a, 5b

Species B – embodiment of the picket as shown in figs. 5c, 5d

Species C – embodiment of the picket as shown in figs. 5e, 5f

Species D – embodiment of the picket as shown in figs. 5g, 5h

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each group of species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The applicant is advised that chosen species should be compatible with one another in view of the disclosure to avoid having claims withdrawn from consideration by the examiner. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

. Art Unit: 3679

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the species to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor MacArthur whose telephone number is (703) 305-5701. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

August 26, 2002

Page 6

Lynne H. Browne
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 3600